REGIONAL GOAL, PRIORITY AREAS, REGIONAL OUTCOMES AND INDICATORS

REGIONAL GOAL (RG): SAFER, MORE RESILIENT AND SUSTAINABLE CDEMA PARTICIPATING STATES THROUGH COMPREHENSIVE DISASTER MANAGEMENT

1. Percentage variation of the average value of "damages and losses" after a small event	IMPACT	-20
2. Percentage variation of the average value of "damages and losses" after a medium event	IMPACT	-20

KEY

REGIONAL OUTCOME 1 **(RO 1)**
REGIONAL OUTCOME 2 **(RO 2)**
REGIONAL OUTCOME 3 **(RO 3)**
REGIONAL OUTCOME 4 **(RO 4)**

PRIORITY AREA 1 (PA 1): Strengthened institutional arrangements for CDM

	TARGET 2024
RO 1.1: National Disaster Organisations and CDEMA CU strengthened for effective support of the implementation, monitoring and evaluation of CDM in Participating States	
3. Number of CDEMA system stakeholders (NDOs and CU CDEMA) utilising PMF and MER processes to inform Annual Progress Reports on CDM implementation (OUTCOME)	19
4. Number of CDEMA System stakeholders (CDEMA Participating Countries and CU CDEMA) with a Governance Mechanism functioning (OUTCOME)	19
RO 1.2: CDM is integrated into policies, strategies and legislation by Participating States	
5. Number of CDEMA PS countries with a CDM legislation approved (OUTCOME)	18
RO 1.3: Development Partners' programming aligned to CDM programming and priorities	
6. Number of partners that have programmes aligned to support CDM implementation (OUTCOME)	20
RO 1.4: Strengthened coordination for preparedness, response and recovery at the national and regional levels	
7. Number CDEMA system stakeholders (CDEMA CU and Participating States) conducting simulation exercises testing multi-hazards (OUTCOME)	19
8. Number of CDEMA system stakeholders (NDOs, CDEMA CU, CIMH, RSS, SRC) with an effective emergency communications system supporting response and recovery (OUTCOME)	22
RO 1.5: CDM Programming is adequately resourced	
9. Percentage of national budget supporting NDO operations (OUTCOME)	2
10. Percentage of Development Partners contribution to CDM implementation (OUTCOME)	TBD

PRIORITY AREA 2 (PA 2): Increased and sustained knowledge management and learning for CDM

	TARGET 2024
RO 2.1: Regional Disaster Risk Management Network for informed decision-making at all levels improved	
11. Number of accredited Centres of Excellence (CoE) operating (OUTCOME)	6
12. Percentage of managers and technical professional from state institutions certified by a CoE (OUTCOME)	75
RO 2.2: Integrated Systems for fact-based policy and decision making established	
13. Number of stakeholders (Participating States and CDEMA CU) utilising CRIS for DRM decision making (OUTCOME)	11
RO 2.3: Incorporation of community and sectoral based knowledge into risk assessment improved	
14. Percentage of communities with hazard and vulnerability assessments that have been completed in consultation with community and sector partners (OUTCOME)	75
RO 2.4: Educational and training materials for CDM standardised, improved and applied in the region	
15. Percentage of beneficiaries satisfied with training provided by trainers using standardised materials (OUTCOME)	75

PRIORITY AREA 3 (PA 3): Improved integration of CDM at sectoral levels

	TARGET 2024
RO 3.1: Strategic Disaster Risk Management programming for priority sectors improved	
16. Number of Participating States with sector specific DRM plans that have been implemented (OUTCOME)	18
RO 3.2: Hazard information integrated into development planning and work programming for priority sectors	
17. Number of Participating States that have integrated normative requirements for risk mitigation (OUTCOME)	18
RO 3.3: Incentive programmes developed and applied for the promotion of risk reduction/ CCA in infrastructure investment in priority sectors	
18. Number of Participating States applying incentive programmes for Disaster Risk Reduction and Climate Change Adaptation (OUTCOME)	18

PRIORITY AREA 4 (PA 4): Strengthened and sustained community resilience

	TARGET 2024
RO 4.1: Standards for safe communities developed, agreed and applied	
19. Percentage of vulnerable communities in Participating States that have a functioning community resilience mechanism in place (OUTCOME)	75
20. Percentage of vulnerable communities in Participating States with a standard community disaster programme in place (OUTCOME)	75
RO 4.2: Community-Based Disaster Management capacity built/strengthened for vulnerable groups	
21. Percentage of vulnerable communities with a standard multi-hazard community disaster plan which addresses vulnerable groups (OUTCOME)	75
RO 4.3: Community Early Warning Systems, integrated, improved and expanded	
22. Number of Participating States that completed a multi hazard communication strategy at community level (OUTCOME)	18
23. Number of Participating States having appropriate multi-hazard EWS (OUTCOME)	9
RO 4.4: Community livelihoods safeguarded and strengthened through effective risk management	
24. Percentage change in the average value (USD) of insurance for communities (OUTCOME)	Pending